MAR 1 4 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Brenda Bousquet

P.O. Box 11, Tewksbury, Massachusetts 01876-0011

March 07, 2005 11:47 AM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Grende MM

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Brenda Bousquet

No. of Copies rec'd CLiet ABCDE

MAR 1 4 2005

FOC - MAILROOM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service—Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make a few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF fair!

Sincerely,

Eartha D. Michel

No. of Copies rec'd ______ List ABCDE The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554



Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service—Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make a few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF fair!

Sincerely,

BRUCE ALLEN Michel Bruce Allen michel

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 1 4 2005

Deborah Georg

ECC-MAILROOM

136 Pleasant Hill Circle, Oakland, Maryland 21550

March 02, 2005 05:54 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Deborah Georg

No. of Copies rec'd _____ Liet ABCDE



Sharon Dyer

542 County Highway 22, Detroit, Alabama 35552

March 09, 2005 10:20 AM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Sharon Dyer

No. of Copies rec'd



John Sullenger

1784 Goodnight Holw Rd., Walnut Shade, Missouri 65771-9233

March 08, 2005 08:26 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

John Sullenger

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE



eric Svancara

888 E Pine St AptA2, pocatello, Idaho 83201

February 18, 2005 12:06 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

<PROCEEDING>96-45

02/08/2005

Eric Svancara 888 E Pine St AptA2 Pocatello ID 83201 (208)-233-0250

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

jacksonvile@hotmail.com

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Sincerely,

Eric Svancara

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE